Application No. 09/933,737 Reply to Office Action of May 24, 2005

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Favorable consideration of this application, in light of the following discussion, is

respectfully requested.

Claims 1-10 are pending in this application.

In the outstanding Office Action Claim 1 was provisionally rejected under the

judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over

Claims 1 and 2 of co-pending Application No. 09/935,584; and Claims 2-10 were indicated

as containing allowable subject matter.

Applicant acknowledges with appreciation the indication of allowable subject matter.

Regarding the provisional double patenting rejection, Applicant will file a Terminal

Disclaimer in the latter allowed of the two applications in question.

Accordingly, in light of the previous discussion, Applicant respectfully submits that

the present application is in condition for allowance and respectfully requests an early and

favorable action to that effect.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220

(OSMMN 06/04)

Eckhard H. Kuesters Attorney of Record

Registration No. 28,870

Michael Monaco

Registration No. 52,041

GJM:MM\la

I:\ATTY\MM\AMENDMENT\0057\213112US.AM DUE 8-24-05.DOC